

DON'T THINK, JUST PANIC

THE BEAUTIFUL PANIC

UNSPEAKABLE BIOLOGY

Part 1: Is science homophobic?

Prehistoric humans likely attended large tribal gatherings in order to find mates who weren't directly related. Small bands, consisting of immediate family members, traveled to a sort of mass wedding. Likely ritualizing the union of many couples in a forerunner to

modern day marriage. This was done to avoid inbreeding. Like everything else in life.

Billions of trials and errors through hundreds of thousands of years have carved mating's best practices into our society's rituals. The emerging recipe has helped our population explode to the dominant species.

Was homosexuality vilified simply for its superfluous nature in the reproductive arena? Studies on sexual attraction and its effects on individuals still will not touch the subject of homosexuality. The micro-responses that helped populate the world we live in, things such

as pupil dilation during a woman's window of peak fertility; the excretion of pheromones; masculinity and its projection of strength being interpreted as his gene's potential resilience towards disease; All these biological traits have a connection to our definition of

Recent studies have concluded that the so-called "gay gene" is a myth but made it clear that they believed homosexuality is natural.

attractiveness.

Do homosexuals simply ignore these subconscious instincts? Do they feel the libidinous force of a woman's peak fertility pheromones and keep the feelings of arousal a secret? Are the synapses in their brain firing so completely different from a cis-gendered male that these signals are not picked up at all. Reacting instead only to another male's masculinity?

Recent studies have concluded that the so-called

THE STRANGE SCIENCE OF SEXUAL ATTRACTION

An article by Carolyn Gregoire for The Huffington Post has many interesting facts concerning the subconscious factors of sexual attraction.

"We've just started to understand that there is communication below the level of consciousness," psychologist Bettina Pause.

"Men can actually sense fertility on a woman, perhaps due in part to her pheromones."

"A British study conducted in 2004 asked a group of 125 men to look at two pictures of the same woman, at times of high and low fertility in her cycle, to assess in which she was more attractive. 60 percent chose the peak fertility picture."

“gay gene” is a myth but made it clear that they believed homosexuality is natural. This implies that 32,000 years ago, when those paleolithic families were trekking through the primeval terrain there was at least one member of the group who was aroused by the same sex as him/herself. If these individuals were around back in those days. And if they were accepted by the society around them. Would they have given us the gift of a more balanced birth rate? Would the consequences of that be a higher vulnerability to extinction via natural disasters or disease?

The science of attraction is still studied in binary terms. Mammalian signals are subconsciously sent in hopes of overpowering the opposite sex. But what of the anomalous gays? Has humanity reached a point in its evolution when a large group of us have rewritten or rejected mother nature? Maybe there was always supposed to be a balance in society. Imagine how much slower humanity would have metastasized if our global consciousness had been more inclusive.

The idea of reproduction at all costs has had its undeniable benefits. The religious and political institutions that

demonized homosexual urges, did so to always have a fresh crop of new recruits. The problem is our so-called “progressive” academic institutions have demonized the backward theories of the past and then abandon the subject entirely.

There are questions that mainstream theorist will never attempt to answer.

Because whatever the answer to these questions may be, the only certainty is that they will be considered controversial. Maybe there is a biological answer. Maybe man’s conscious and subconscious awareness of our damage to this planet, and our overpopulation, has completely shut off these receptors in a large group of people. Maybe these people were always meant to live alongside us. Mother nature’s way to balance out our explosive reproductive nature. Theoretical anthropology will not risk studying anything controversial for fear that the individuals involved in the study might become the targets of hatred. But if science has borders, we will always be looking at an incomplete picture. These institutions are entrusted with solving the complexities of life and stay away from these subjects out of cowardice.

THE STRANGE SCIENCE OF SEXUAL ATTRACTION

“Women quickly assess markers of masculinity.”

“From an evolutionary perspective, masculinity is basically man’s way of advertising good genes, dominance and likelihood to father healthier kids,” – The Wall Street journal.

“The Pill might change a woman’s preference in men. A number of studies have suggested that hormonal contraception may have some effect on women’s preferences for sexual partners. A man’s smell provides a woman with info about his major histocompatibility complex (MHC) genes, which play an important role in immune system function.”